

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/697,032 10/31/2003 Dong-Bock Lee SEC.1043 1092 EXAMINER 20987 7590 05/23/2005 **VOLENTINE FRANCOS, & WHITT PLLC** ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L ONE FREEDOM SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190 1763

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/697,032	LEE, DONG-BOCK	LEE, DONG-BOCK		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Luz L. Alejandro	1763			

Advisory Action	10/697,032	LEE, DONG-BOCK			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luz L. Alejandro	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress		
·	THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in confollowing time periods:	or on the same day as filing a No following replies: (1) an amendm a Notice of Appeal (with appeal f	otice of Appeal. To avoid ab ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706	• •	(400)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or all Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mental to the contract of t	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	:	- 1	<b>.</b>		
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject (a) They raise new issues that would require furthe (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE)	er consideration and/or search (se below);	ee NOTE below);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application ir appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		ally rejected claims.			
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> </ul>		lon-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would letter non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e)	d and sufficient reasons why the				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ssary and was not earlier present	appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	nation of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	ched.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considere  See Continuation Sheet.	d but does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allowa	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F	Paper No(s)			
I3. ☑ Other: <u>see attached PTO-892</u> .	·	Luz L. Alejandro Primary Examiner	ld		
		Art Unit: 1763			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would understand that it is inherent that the quartz material used in the primary references of Hama et al., Ni et al., and Collison et al. is a quartz ceramic material (see, for example, Fujiyama, at col. 1, lines 21-24, which is provided for evidence that the quartz used in plasma chambers is a ceramic material).